

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



VINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/936,421	01/07/2002	Dave Parsons	CV-0290	3678	
75	90 04/15/2003				
	Squibb Company	EXAMINER			
100 Headquarte Skillman, NJ 0		·	PAK, JO	PAK, JOHN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616	6	
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/936,421

Applicant(s)

PARSONS et al.

Examiner

John Pak

Art Unit 1616



	The M	MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	eet with	the correspondence address		
	for Reply						
THE	MAILING	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			- <del></del>		
		e may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). Is communication.	In no event, however	r, may a re	eply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for rep period for rep e to reply with eply received	uply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within uply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply thin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caused by the Office later than three months after the mailing date on adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ply and will expire SIX se the application to be	( (6) MONT secome AB	THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•					
1) 🗆		sive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) 🗌	This act	tion is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.	•	·		
3) 🗆		nis application is in condition for allowance e in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex par</i>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Disposi	ition of Cl						
4) 💢	Claim(s)	<i>1-8</i>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the	e above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideratio		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are allowed.		
		1- <b>6</b> +					
		<b>5</b> 8					
					eject to restriction and/or election requirement		
	ation Pape	•					
9) 🗆	The spe	ecification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The dra	awing(s) filed on is/ard	re al accept	ed or t	objected to by the Examiner.		
		ant may not request that any objection to the di					
11)			=		approved by disapproved by the Examine		
		oved, corrected drawings are required in reply t					
12)	The oat!	th or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
Priority	under 35	5 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)💢	Acknow	vledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35	U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) 🗴	a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:						
	1. ☐ Ce	ertified copies of the priority documents have	re been receiver	d.			
	2. 🗆 Ce	ertified copies of the priority documents have	re been receiver	d in Ap	plication No		
		opies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).			
		tached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14)[	_	vledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
a) L 15\□		ranslation of the foreign language provisiona					
15)		vledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	35 U.S.	.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachmo		rences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Thetanious Su		TO-413) Paper No(s)		
		tsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			ant Application (PTO-152)		
		sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:	Miliai Fato	.nt Application (F10-192)		
			٠, ت ٥				

Application/Control Number: 09/936,421

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 1-8 are pending in this application.

Claims 5-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend on another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, claims 5-8 have not been further treated on the merits. Applicant is advised that claims 7-8 are further problematic in that they are directed to the non-statutory "use" of a substance.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- (1) Claim 1 is confusing in that it is not clear whether a final iodine preparation or a two-part precursor preparation is being claimed.
- (2) Claim 2 recites a broad range and a preferred range for iodine generation. This type of claim structure renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the broad-narrow feature is limiting or exemplary.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Winicov et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,421

Art Unit: 1616

Winicov et al. (US 4,271,149) explicitly disclose a composition that contains iodine, 0.05-0.5% iodide ion from any source, 0.05-0.1% iodate from any source, detergent or solvent, and buffering agent to maintain pH in the range of 5-7 (column 2, lines 43-54). Table III discloses Composition B, which contains 0.45% HI, 0.2% sodium iodate, citric acid + sodium hydroxide (which would generate the citric acid/citrate buffer), and final pH of 5.6. See columns 7-8.

It is noted that Winicov's disclosure does not expressly mention several of applicant's claimed features. First, there is no explicit separate keeping of the iodine source and iodate (oxidant) source. However, to the extent that the claims are readable on the final solution after mixing, Winicov et al. clearly disclose the final solution. It must be emphasized that the claims are directed to the composition per se, not to a method of using the composition.

Second, claim 2 requires that the composition is capable of generating from 5 ug of iodine per g of composition per hour to 150 ug of iodine per g of the composition per hour. This feature is not expressly disclosed by Winicov et al. However, it is noted that the claims are readable on the weight amount of Winicov's HI and sodium iodate. See the non-examined claim 5 solely for the fact that applicant considers 0.2% HI to be suitable. Since Winicov et al. disclose 0.45% HI, the iodine generation feature of claim 2 is necessarily met by Winicov et al.

Third, and similarly as in the preceding paragraph, Winicov et al. do not expressly disclose generating said amounts of iodine over a period of three days. But again, applicant's claim 6 is evidence that Winicov's composition would have been so capable.

Art Unit: 1616

Therefore, all of the claim features in claims 1-4 are either explicitly or necessarily disclosed by Winicov et al. and the claims must be rejected.

A facsimile center has been established in Technology Center 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier numbers for accessing the facsimile machines are (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Pak whose telephone number is (703) 308-4538. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. José Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

JOHN PAK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1600